

Perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and risky behaviors in adolescents

ROBERT F. LEEMAN^{1*}, JULIE A. PATOCK-PECKHAM², RANI A. HOFF^{1,3}, SUCHITRA KRISHNAN-SARIN¹, MARVIN A. STEINBERG⁴, LOREEN J. RUGLE⁵ and MARC N. POTENZA^{1,6,7}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

²Department of Psychology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

³VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT, USA

⁴Connecticut Council on Problem Gambling, Guilford, CT, USA

⁵Problem Gambling Services of Connecticut, Middletown, CT, USA

⁶Department of Neurobiology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

⁷Child Study Center, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

(Received: November 28, 2013; revised manuscript received: February 13, 2014; accepted: February 25, 2014)

Background and aims: Perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling may relate to adolescents' engagement in various risky behaviors. To examine this possibility, we analyzed data from a high-school based risk-behavior survey to assess relationships between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and adolescent gambling behavior, substance use and related problems. We also evaluated predictions that relationships between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and risky behaviors would be particularly strong amongst adolescents reporting high sensation-seeking or impulsivity. **Methods:** High-school students ($n = 2,805$) provided data on risky behaviors, perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling, impulsivity and sensation-seeking. Bivariate and logistic regression analyses were conducted to examine relationships with gambling and alcohol, cigarette and marijuana use. **Results:** Perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling related significantly to adolescent gambling, all substance-use behaviors as well as alcohol and drug problems. There were significant parental-permissiveness-by-sensation-seeking interactions in multiple models. Relationships between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and alcohol-use frequency were particularly strong among those with high sensation-seeking. This relationship also applied to gambling and heavy cigarette smoking, albeit to a lesser extent. Impulsivity related strongly to drug problems among those who perceived their parents to be more and less permissive toward gambling. **Discussion and conclusions:** These findings support the relevance of perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling to adolescent risky behaviors. Parenting perceived as less permissive toward gambling appeared to have protective effects on gambling, alcohol and cigarette use, even among those with high sensation-seeking. Reducing parental permissiveness toward gambling may be a valuable intervention goal, particularly for parents of sensation-seeking adolescents.

Keywords: alcohol, cigarette, impulsivity, marijuana, sensation seeking, smoking

INTRODUCTION

Adolescent gambling is prevalent with estimates of past-year gambling ranging from 50–90% (Derevensky, Gupta & Winters, 2003; Welte, Barnes, Tidwell & Hoffman, 2008). Adolescents are 2–4 times more likely than adults to experience gambling problems (Derevensky et al., 2003). Nomenclature differences across studies on adolescent gambling may partly explain wide ranges in prevalence findings (Derevensky et al., 2003). In the present study, gambling was defined as any game you bet on for money or anything else of value, which encompasses a variety of activities including sports betting, casino gambling and lotteries.

Gambling has been associated with use of addictive substances, which may partly explain adverse outcomes associated with adolescent gambling. Adolescents who gamble are more likely to use alcohol (Duhig, Maciejewski, Desai, Krishnan-Sarin & Potenza, 2007), cigarettes and marijuana (Barnes, Welte, Hoffman & Tidwell, 2009). Further, frequent gambling and gambling-related problems among adolescents are related to heavy use of alcohol, cigarettes and marijuana as well as substance-related problems (Barnes

et al., 2009). Identifying factors influencing adolescent gambling may help with prevention strategies aimed at reducing a wide range of risky behaviors during adolescence.

Multiple factors may influence adolescents' decisions to engage in risky behaviors. Social learning theory suggests that attitudes predict behaviors and that attitudes are influenced by important individuals such as parents (Akers & Lee, 1996). Conceivably, parental attitudes may influence an offspring's initial engagement in gambling as well as other risky behaviors (Akers & Lee, 1996). Thus, permissive parental attitudes are likely to increase risk of adolescent engagement in risky behaviors whereas less permissive attitudes should exert a protective effect, decreasing likelihood of adolescent risky behaviors. Accordingly, for cigarette smoking, protective effects of perceived parental disapproval have a stronger influence on adolescent decisions

* Corresponding author: Robert F. Leeman, PhD; Yale School of Medicine, 34 Park Street, Room S200, New Haven, CT 01659 USA; Phone: +1-203-974-7373; Fax: +1-203-974-7606; E-mail: robert.leeman@yale.edu

regarding smoking than peer and parental smoking (Kong et al., 2012; Sargent & Dalton, 2001). In contrast, perceived parental permissiveness relates to heavier cigarette smoking (Sargent & Dalton, 2001) and alcohol use (Fairlie, Wood & Laird, 2012). In a recent study, children's ratings of their parents' rearing practices predicted their drinking behavior prospectively to a greater extent than parents' own ratings, supporting the validity of offspring ratings of parenting variables (Latendresse et al., 2009).

Although studies have examined perceived parental permissiveness towards substance-use behaviors, none to date have examined how perceived parental attitudes toward gambling influence adolescent engagement in risky behaviors. Since gambling and substance use are closely related (Leeman & Potenza, 2012), it is possible that perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling will relate both to gambling and substance use behaviors. Alternatively, perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling may relate more specifically to gambling behaviors. To our knowledge, these possibilities have not been examined directly. Considering the importance of parental attitudes, it would be valuable to assess the extent to which perceived parental attitudes toward gambling are specific or apply to multiple risky behaviors.

Parental controls may be of particular importance for offspring with higher levels of sensation seeking and impulsivity. Sensation-seeking has been defined as the pursuit of "varied, novel, complex, and *intense* sensations and experiences, and the willingness to take physical, social, *legal*, and *financial* risks for the sake of such experiences" (Zuckerman, 1994, p. 27, emphasis in original). Impulsivity has been defined as "a predisposition toward rapid, unplanned reactions to internal or external stimuli with diminished regard to the negative consequences of these reactions to the impulsive individual or others" (Moeller, Barratt, Dougherty, Schmitz & Swann, 2001; Brewer & Potenza, 2008). Sensation-seeking and impulsivity are related but distinct constructs (Ersche, Turton, Pradhan, Bullmore & Robbins, 2010) with disparate neurodevelopmental features and differing developmental trajectories (Steinberg et al., 2008). Sensation-seeking and impulsivity are particularly common among adolescents, partly because neural circuitry facilitating higher-order self-regulation has not fully developed (Potenza, 2013).

Impulsivity and sensation-seeking have both been associated with risky behaviors during adolescence (King, Fleming, Monahan & Catalano, 2011). Specifically, adolescent sensation-seeking and impulsivity are associated with substance use cross-sectionally (Chassin, Flora & King, 2004; Martin et al., 2002) and predict substance use problems longitudinally (Chassin et al., 2004; Wanner, Vitaro, Ladouceur, Brendgen & Tremblay, 2006). Impulsivity is related to gambling initiation (Auger, Lo, Cantinotti & O'Loughlin, 2010) and subsequent problem gambling (Vitaro, Arseneault & Tremblay, 1999). Adolescent sensation-seeking is related to problem gambling severity cross-sectionally (Gupta, Derevensky & Ellenbogen, 2006). Relationships between risky behaviors and impulsivity/sensation-seeking among young people may be reciprocal (Quinn, Stappenbeck & Fromme, 2011). Impulsivity and sensation-seeking measured in late adolescence predicted heavy drinking during undergraduate years and heavy drinking then predicted subsequent increases in sensation-seeking and impulsivity among undergraduates (Quinn et al., 2011).

Perceived parental permissiveness is likely problematic overall, but particularly among impulsive (Patock-Peckham & Morgan-Lopez, 2006) and/or sensation-seeking youth. Permissive parenting has been associated with sensation-seeking among elementary-school children (Xu, Farver & Zhang, 2009) and sensation-seeking, rebelliousness and substance use among adolescents (Hayes, Hudson & Matthews, 2004).

In separate statistical models along with other potential correlates, we analyzed relationships between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and adolescents' reports of their gambling and substance use, along with gambling- and substance-related problems. These statistical models were expected to provide valuable information regarding the extent to which gambling, substance use and related problems are associated with similar or different correlates. We also predicted interactions, such that relationships between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and risky behaviors would be strongest among adolescents reporting high sensation-seeking or impulsivity.

The present study is unique in multiple respects. Few studies have addressed perceived parental permissiveness in relation to multiple risky behaviors, and we are aware of no such studies that examined perceived parental permissiveness towards gambling. This approach of relating a probable correlate of gambling to other types of risky behaviors is novel. Studies examining impulsivity and sensation-seeking as possible moderators of relationships between parental permissiveness and risky behaviors are rare. The present study is an extension of Leeman, Hoff, Krishnan-Sarin, Patock-Peckham and Potenza (in press), who found that both sensation-seeking and impulsivity related significantly to substance use and impulsivity related to gambling. Impulsivity had stronger relationships to gambling and drug-related problems than did sensation-seeking. The present study extends Leeman et al. (in press) by examining relationships between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and risky behaviors, along with possible moderation of these effects by impulsivity or sensation-seeking.

METHODS

Sample

Recruitment for the parent study has been described in prior reports (e.g., Schepis et al., 2008). All public four-year non-special education and non-vocational secondary schools in the state of Connecticut were invited to participate. The schools were offered a risk behavior summary assessment of their students. Study staff contacted schools expressing interest in the study. A targeted selection was undertaken for geographic regions not represented sufficiently. The final sample for the parent study included schools from each geographic quadrant and three clusters of district reference groups (DRGs), which are based on the socio-economic status of households in these districts. The final parent study sample ($n = 4523$) was similar to key Connecticut demographics for this age group. The sample for the present study ($n = 2805$) (Table 1) was comprised of participants giving complete responses for all variables included in the statistical models (i.e., perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling, sensation-seeking, impulsivity and demographics) and at least one risky behavior variable (i.e., gambling and substance use variables).

Table 1. Sample characteristics

Variable	Percent/Mean (SD)
Percent female	55.8%
Mean age (SD)	15.84 years (1.23)
Race	78% White 5.3% African-American 3% Asian 4.3% multiple races 9.3% other
Ethnicity	88.5% non-Hispanic/Latin 11.5% Hispanic/Latin
Living situation	74.1% with two parents 21.7% with one parent 4.2% other
Lifetime alcohol users (at least 1 drink)	68.8%
Having at least 1 binge-drinking day in past 30 days	30.6%
Alcohol consumption frequency in past 30 days	52.6% none 30.5% one–five days (infrequent) 16.9% six days or more (frequent)
Reporting a lifetime problem with alcohol	3.9%
Lifetime cigarette smokers	37.1%
Cigarette use frequency in past 30 days	80.8% none 12.8% up to seven per day (infrequent) 6.4% eight or more per day (frequent)
Lifetime marijuana users	38%
Smoking marijuana at least once in past 30 days	22%
Reporting a lifetime problem with drug use	4.6%
Engaging in any gambling in past 12 months	91.5%
Hours spent gambling in an average week	88.3% an hour or less (infrequent) 11.7% two hours or more (frequent)
Endorsing one or more criteria for pathological gambling in past 12 months	13.7%
Mean impulsivity score (SD)	3.41 (2.20)
Mean sensation-seeking score (SD)	6.59 (2.91)
Mean score of perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling (1–5 scale, higher score = more permissive)	2.35 (0.92)

Procedure

Researchers distributed the surveys during school assemblies or specific classes (e.g., health or English). Students were given instructions to avoid including information that might identify them. Participating students were given a pen for completing the survey. Rate of refusal was less than 1%.

Measures

As in prior investigations from the parent study (e.g., Lee-man et al., in press; Schepis et al., 2008), categorical versions of risky behavior variables (i.e., gambling, alcohol, cigarette and marijuana use, along with related problems) were created for analytic purposes due to limited variability of responses to these items. Use of similar groupings also allows for continuity between the present study and other manuscripts published from the parent study data.

Gambling. For gambling items, students were given the following definition of gambling: “any game you bet on for money OR anything else of value”. Students were asked to report their number of hours spent gambling or placing bets in an average week. Those reporting gambling ≤ 1 hour per week or who reported no past 12-month involvement in any type of gambling were classified as infrequent gamblers, whereas those reporting gambling ≥ 2 hours per week were classified as frequent gamblers.

Perceived parental permissiveness regarding gambling. Students responded to the following item: “How do you

think your parents would feel about you gambling, even once or twice, over the next 12 months?” Five response options were given, ranging from “strongly disapprove” (scored “1”) to “strongly approve” (scored “5”).

Alcohol. We created two variables capturing alcohol use. Students first indicated whether they have ever had a drink of alcohol in their lifetime besides a few sips. Lifetime drinkers reported past-30-day frequency of any alcohol consumption, responses to which were converted into a 3-level variable: no use, infrequent (1–5 days) and frequent use (≥ 6 days). Students reported their past-30-day frequency of binge-drinking (i.e., males: 5 or more drinks in a row, females: 4 or more) as well. We created a binary (any/none) variable concerning past-30-day binge-drinking.

Marijuana and cigarettes. Students indicated whether they have ever smoked marijuana. Those who have smoked reported their frequency of use in the prior 30 days. We combined these items into a single binary variable capturing past-30-day any/no use. Similarly, students first reported whether they had ever smoked cigarettes. Those who had smoked reported their average cigarette use per day over the prior 30 days. These items were collapsed into one variable with students grouped as non-smokers, light (≤ 7 per day) and heavy smokers (≥ 8 per day).

Gambling and substance-related problems. Students reported in separate items whether they ever had a problem with alcohol or drugs: “Do you now have, or have you ever had, an alcohol problem?” “Do you now have, or have you ever had, any kind of a drug problem?” Questions regarding

DSM-IV-TR pathological-gambling criteria were assessed with items from the Massachusetts Gambling Screen (Shaffer, LaBrie, Scanlan & Cummings, 1994). Those reporting past-year gambling without endorsing any DSM-IV criteria were classified as low-risk gamblers, where individuals meeting 1 or more DSM-IV criteria were considered at-risk/problem gamblers (e.g., Kundu et al., 2013; Leeman et al., in press).

Sensation-seeking and impulsivity. Items from the Zuckerman–Kuhlman Personality Questionnaire (Zuckerman, Kuhlman, Joireman, Teta & Kraft, 1993) were used to assess these constructs. The measure included 19 true/false questions pertaining to characteristics associated with sensation-seeking (11 items, $\alpha = .78$) or impulsivity (8 items, $\alpha = .70$). Responses indicative of sensation-seeking or impulsivity were scored “1” with opposing responses scored “0”.

Demographics. Students reported their age, race/ethnicity, gender and current living situation (i.e., living with no parents, with 1 or 2 parents). The living situation variable was included in statistical models to ensure that any effect of perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling was not attributable to absence of 1 or more parents. For logistic regressions, two dummy variables were created comparing living with 1 and no parents to other living situations, making living with two parents the reference category.

Data analysis

IBM SPSS, version 19 was used to conduct all analyses. Prior to the main analyses, we examined item distributions; bivariate correlations among variables; chi-square analyses and *t*-tests to compare variables between students included in the present study and those omitted due to missing data.

Logistic regression models were tested for binary variables and multinomial logistic regression models for the 3-level categorical variables. Students having complete data were included in models for gambling frequency and substance use (i.e., overall frequency of alcohol use, frequency of binge-drinking, marijuana and cigarette use). Abstainers (over the past year for gambling and on a lifetime basis for substances) were excluded from models for related problems (i.e., gambling, alcohol and drugs). Entry of variables into models was in a two-step process: individual variables in an initial step and interaction terms in a second step. We tested two-way interactions of perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling with sensation-seeking and with impulsivity. Sensation-seeking and impulsivity scores were mean-centered to reduce potential collinearity with the interaction terms (Aiken & West, 1991). Interactions having *p* values $\geq .05$ were dropped from models and the models were then re-tested. Therefore, final models for gambling frequency and substance use included perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling, sensation-seeking, impulsivity, demographics and remaining interactions. A similar approach was taken for related problems with the exception that a variable capturing gambling frequency or substance use was also included. Model goodness of fit was determined with chi-square comparisons of $-2 \log$ likelihoods between constant-only versus final models, along with Hosmer and Lemeshow tests for logistic regressions and deviance statistics, plus Pearson tests for multinomial logistic regressions. Plots of simple regression equations at individual variable values 1 *SD* above and below 0 were used to interpret significant interactions (Aiken & West, 1991). Due to testing multiple models with multiple comparisons, we

adopted an alpha level of $p \leq .01$ for significance for all models.

Ethics

Introductory letters were mailed to parents. Those who preferred that their child not participate were requested to contact the school. In absence of contact, parental consent was assumed. Students were told that participation was voluntary. Students who preferred not to participate and those who did not have parental consent either sat quietly or completed alternate work. Yale’s Institutional Review Board and participating schools approved consent procedures. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).

RESULTS

Preliminary analyses

Using all available data, we compared those included in the studied sample with those not included due to missing data. Excluded individuals were more likely to be male, non-white and of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity; reside with one or no parents; report frequent cigarette smoking, and marijuana use; report lifetime histories of alcohol- and drug-use problems and score higher on impulsivity. The highest correlation was between impulsivity and sensation-seeking ($r = .58, p < .001$). Thus, no correlations were high enough to raise concern about collinearity. Tests indicated good fit to the data for all regression models.

Regression models for gambling and substance use

Gambling. In a logistic regression, there were significant effects of perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling, sensation-seeking and impulsivity (Table 2). Significant effects of sensation-seeking and perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling should be interpreted in light of a significant interaction. Perceived parental permissiveness related to gambling frequency at all levels of sensation-seeking; however, as predicted, relationships were somewhat stronger among those with high sensation-seeking. In addition to this predicted effect, among those who perceived their parents as being non-permissive regarding gambling, sensation-seeking had a strong relationship to gambling frequency (Figure 1). Gender (males higher) and the dummy variable comparing living with no parents versus other living situations were also significant.

Alcohol. According to logistic regression (Table 2) and multinomial logistic regression (Table 3) results, perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling, impulsivity and sensation-seeking were significantly and positively associated with binge-drinking and more frequent alcohol consumption overall. The impulsivity effect for overall frequency pertained only to the comparison between frequent consumption and no use. Effects of sensation-seeking and perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling in the overall frequency model should be interpreted in light of a significant interaction, which pertained only to the comparison between frequent and no alcohol use. As predicted, the relationship between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and frequent alcohol use was considerably

Table 2. Logistic regression models for binge-drinking of alcohol, marijuana use and gambling

Variable	Binge-drinking of alcohol			Marijuana use			Gambling		
	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value
Age	1.47	1.36–1.59	<.001	1.31	1.20–1.42	<.001	1.18	1.03–1.35	.020
Gender	0.84	0.70–1.01	.070	1.03	0.89–1.26	.751	5.41	3.42–8.52	<.001
Race	1.93	1.45–2.57	<.001	1.60	1.18–2.17	.003	0.95	0.60–1.57	.907
Ethnicity	2.03	1.45–2.84	<.001	1.35	0.93–1.90	.120	1.59	0.91–2.76	.101
Living with one parent versus other	0.91	0.73–1.13	.485	1.22	0.98–1.57	.073	1.61	1.06–2.38	.024
Living with no parents versus other	1.47	0.95–2.26	.084	2.39	1.49–3.58	<.001	4.30	2.32–7.97	<.001
Impulsivity	1.11	1.06–1.17	<.001	1.12	1.06–1.19	<.001	1.15	1.04–1.27	.007
Sensation-seeking	1.19	1.14–1.24	<.001	1.18	1.13–1.24	<.001	1.13	1.03–1.23	.009
Perceived parental permissiveness	1.68	1.51–1.87	<.001	1.50	1.34–1.68	<.001	1.76	1.45–2.14	<.001
Sensation-seeking-by-parental-permissiveness interaction	ns			ns			0.91	0.86–0.97	.002

Note: Gender: male coded 1, female coded 0; race: white coded 1, non-white coded 0; ethnicity: Hispanic/Latino coded 1, non-Hispanic/Latino coded 0; O.R. = odds ratio; C.I. = 95% confidence interval.

Table 3. Multinomial logistic regression models for overall frequency of alcohol use and heaviness of cigarette use

Variable	Frequent vs. no alcohol consumption			Infrequent vs. no alcohol consumption			Heavy cigarette use vs. no cigarette use			Light cigarette use vs. no cigarette use		
	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value
Age	1.66	1.50–1.83	<.001	1.32	1.22–1.42	<.001	1.42	1.23–1.63	<.001	1.33	1.21–1.47	<.001
Gender	0.75	0.59–0.95	.017	0.72	0.60–0.87	.001	0.88	0.62–1.23	.451	0.56	0.44–0.73	<.001
Race	1.70	1.19–2.43	.004	1.56	1.19–2.05	.001	1.62	0.97–2.71	.065	1.28	0.89–1.84	.182
Ethnicity	1.56	1.02–2.40	.043	1.61	1.15–2.26	.006	1.91	1.11–3.28	.020	0.88	0.56–1.38	.571
Living with 1 parent vs. other	1.14	0.86–1.50	.371	1.01	0.81–1.27	.911	1.71	1.18–2.45	.005	1.21	0.92–1.60	.180
Living with no parents vs. other	1.54	0.91–2.60	.109	0.81	0.49–1.33	.402	3.18	1.75–5.79	<.001	1.25	0.70–2.23	.445
Impulsivity	1.14	1.07–1.22	<.001	1.02	0.97–1.08	.396	1.19	1.08–1.31	<.001	1.12	1.05–1.19	.001
Sensation-seeking	1.30	1.23–1.38	<.001	1.20	1.15–1.25	<.001	1.29	1.17–1.43	<.001	1.24	1.17–1.31	<.001
Perceived parental permiss.	2.13	1.84–2.46	<.001	1.48	1.34–1.65	<.001	2.69	2.18–3.32	<.001	1.33	1.14–1.56	<.001
Sensation-seeking-by-parental-permissiveness interaction	0.93	0.89–0.98	.002	0.98	0.95–1.02	.347	0.88	0.83–0.94	<.001	0.98	0.93–1.03	.373

Note: Gender: male coded 1, female coded 0; race: white coded 1, non-white coded 0; ethnicity: Hispanic/Latino coded 1, non-Hispanic/Latino coded 0; O.R. = odds ratio; C.I. = 95% confidence interval.

stronger among those with high sensation-seeking compared to those with low sensation-seeking. This effect was more dramatic than it was for gambling. In addition to the




Figure 1. Sensation seeking \times parental permissiveness for gambling

Note: Results of simple regression equations at individual variable values 1 SD above and below 0.

predicted effect, among those who considered their parents to be less permissive toward gambling, high sensation-seeking was associated with a higher probability of frequent alcohol use. Likelihood of frequent alcohol use was about the same for these students as it was for those with low sensation-seeking who perceived their parents to be permissive about gambling (Figure 2). Older and white students were more likely to have endorsed binge-drinking at least once in the past 30 days and endorsed more frequent consumption overall. Being Hispanic/Latino was significantly associated with binge-drinking and marginally associated with overall alcohol-use frequency. Gender was related to overall frequency due to an association between female gender and infrequent compared to no drinking.

Marijuana. In a logistic regression, there were significant effects of perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling, impulsivity and sensation-seeking (Table 2). Age, race (white students more likely to smoke) and living with no parents versus other living situations were also significant. There were no significant interactions.

Cigarettes. In a multinomial regression, there were significant effects of perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling, impulsivity and sensation-seeking (Table 3). Associations involving perceived parental permissiveness and sensation-seeking should be interpreted in light of a signifi-




Figure 2. Sensation seeking \times parental permissiveness for alcohol

Note: Results of simple regression equations at individual variable values 1 SD above and below 0.

cant interaction. Similar to the same interaction in the gambling model, perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling was associated with heavy smoking at all levels of sensation-seeking. However, as predicted, relationships were somewhat stronger among those with high sensation-seeking. In addition to this predicted effect, among those who perceived their parents as being non-permissive toward gambling, sensation-seeking was associated with a greater probability of heavy smoking (Figure 3). Age, gender and living with fewer than two parents also related significantly to cigarette use (Table 3). The gender effect was predominantly due to a relationship between female gender and light as compared to no smoking.

Regression models for gambling and substance-related problems

Current abstainers were excluded from models for gambling- and substance-related problems. In a model of gam-




Figure 3. Sensation seeking \times parental permissiveness for cigarettes

Note: Results of simple regression equations at individual variable values 1 SD above and below 0.

bling-related problems, perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling was not significant, whereas impulsivity was. Gender (males higher) was the only significant demographic variable, and gambling frequency was significant (Table 4). There were no significant interactions.

In a model for lifetime alcohol problems, there were significant effects of perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and impulsivity, which should be interpreted in light of a near significant interaction. Perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling had somewhat stronger relationships to probability of a lifetime alcohol problem among more impulsive students. In addition to this predicted relationship, among those who perceived their parents to be less permissive toward gambling, high impulsivity strongly related to probability of a lifetime alcohol problem. Probability of an alcohol problem among these students was similar to that of low impulsivity students who perceived their parents to be more permissive regarding gambling. The variable comparing living with no parents to other situations and fre-

Table 4. Logistic regression models for alcohol, drug and gambling-related problems

Variable	Alcohol			Drug use			Gambling		
	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value	O.R.	95% C.I. for O.R.	p-value
Age	1.13	0.95–1.34	.167	1.09	0.89–1.32	.405	0.99	0.88–1.11	.856
Gender	1.06	0.70–1.62	.773	1.18	0.75–1.88	.475	3.08	2.23–4.26	<.001
Race	1.36	0.70–2.67	.365	1.05	0.52–2.13	.885	0.94	0.63–1.40	.755
Ethnicity	1.39	0.68–2.82	.367	1.34	0.63–2.87	.450	1.43	0.89–2.30	.144
Living situation: with one parent vs. other	0.96	0.61–1.68	.959	1.38	0.82–2.31	.225	0.86	0.61–1.23	.401
Living situation: with no parents vs. other	3.83	1.99–7.38	<.001	2.56	1.17–5.59	.018	1.34	0.73–2.47	.344
Frequency of engagement	2.37	1.36–4.12	.002	4.39	2.33–8.30	<.001	4.98	3.37–7.37	<.001
Impulsivity	1.20	1.06–1.37	.003	1.28	1.11–1.47	.001	1.13	1.04–1.23	.003
Sensation-seeking	1.03	0.94–1.13	.533	1.05	0.94–1.16	.372	1.04	0.98–1.11	.194
Perceived parental permissiveness	1.53	1.17–2.01	.002	1.47	1.09–1.97	.007	0.99	0.85–1.16	.918
Impulsivity-by-permissiveness interaction	0.86	0.77–0.97	.012	0.81	0.71–0.92	<.001	ns		

Note: Gender: male coded 1, female coded 0; race: white coded 1, non-white coded 0; ethnicity: Hispanic/Latino coded 1, non-Hispanic/Latino coded 0; O.R. = odds ratio; C.I. = 95% confidence interval; frequency of engagement is frequency of alcohol use for alcohol problems, frequency of marijuana use for drug problems and frequency of gambling for gambling problems; for frequency of alcohol use, the comparison between frequent and no use is reported here, the comparison between infrequent and no use was not significant. ns = non-significant.

quency of alcohol consumption also had significant relationships to alcohol problem history (Table 4).

In a model of lifetime drug problems, perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and impulsivity were significant; however, these effects should be interpreted in light of a significant interaction (Table 4). As predicted, the relationship between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and lifetime probability of a drug problem was somewhat stronger among more impulsive students. However, in addition to the predicted effect, among those who perceived their parents to be less permissive about gambling, impulsivity had a strong relationship to lifetime probability of a drug problem. In fact, lifetime probability of a drug problem was roughly equivalent among impulsive students who perceived their parents to be permissive and non-permissive about gambling (Figure 4). Past-30-day marijuana use was also significantly associated with drug problem history; however, there were no significant demographic variables.




Figure 4. Impulsivity \times parental permissiveness for drug problems

Note: Results of simple regression equations at individual variable values 1 SD above and below 0.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on social-learning theory (Akers & Lee, 1996; Bandura & Walters, 1963), we predicted strong relationships between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and adolescent risky behaviors (i.e., gambling, substance use and related problems). This prediction was confirmed for all variables except gambling-related problems. We predicted further that relationships between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and risky behaviors would be strongest among impulsive or sensation-seeking students. This prediction was partly confirmed. Relationships between perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and multiple risky behaviors (i.e., gambling, alcohol use and cigarette smoking) were stronger among students who reported higher sensation-seeking. Surprisingly, there were no significant interactions with respect to gambling-related problems. There were also no significant interactions involving impulsivity for gambling or substance use. However, there was a parallel near-significant

finding in which the relationship between perceived parental permissiveness regarding gambling and lifetime history of an alcohol-use problem was somewhat stronger among more impulsive individuals.

In addition to these predicted findings, sensation-seeking had strong relationships to gambling, alcohol use and cigarette smoking among those who perceived their parents as being less permissive toward gambling. Similarly, in a near significant interaction, impulsivity related strongly to alcohol problem history among those who viewed their parents as being less permissive toward gambling. Contrary to predictions, impulsivity was associated with drug use problems among those who perceived their parents to be more and less permissive toward gambling.

Among those with high sensation-seeking, engagement in gambling, alcohol use and cigarette smoking was lower among those who perceived their parents as being less permissive toward gambling, compared to those who viewed their parents as being permissive toward gambling. Thus, parenting perceived as being less permissive appeared to have a protective effect even among higher-risk students with sensation-seeking tendencies; however, this protective effect did not apply to impulsive adolescents with regard to drug use problems. These findings follow results from a personality-targeted intervention (Conrod, Castellanos & Mackie, 2008), which was found to be particularly effective in preventing the negative impact of sensation-seeking on adolescent binge-drinking. Thus, the impact of sensation-seeking on substance-use behavior may be more malleable based on environmental factors than the impact of impulsivity is.

These findings extend prior results supporting relationships between perceived parental permissiveness and risky behaviors and potential protective effects of less permissive parenting (Fairlie et al., 2012; Sargent & Dalton, 2001), even among higher-risk adolescents (Pieters et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2009). Parental external control (van der Vorst, Engels, Meeus & Dekovic, 2006) may have particularly high protective value for youth at risk for self-control issues (Pieters et al., 2012), such as those with high sensation-seeking.

Interestingly, perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling related strongly not only to gambling, but also to substance-use behavior and related problems. These findings further support observations of strong relationships between gambling and substance use (Leeman & Potenza, 2012). Our findings further suggest that parental attitudes toward gambling may act as a proxy for parental attitudes toward other addictive behaviors and perhaps to other types of risky behaviors. Speculatively, permissive parental attitudes toward gambling may contribute to the inordinately high prevalence of this behavior among adolescents demonstrated in this as well as in prior studies (Derevensky et al., 2003; Welte et al., 2008).

This study has several strengths, including a large sample size. Inclusion of multiple gambling and substance-use variables in the same study is relatively unique and allows for assessment of consistency with respect to correlates of these behaviors. Inclusion of sensation-seeking and impulsivity in the same statistical models permitted observation of similarities and differences regarding associations with risky behaviors and interactions with perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling.

The study also had limitations. The goal of the parent study was breadth (arguably more so than depth). Accord-

ingly, multiple health behaviors and possible correlates were assessed. Several constructs were examined using single items, such as perceived parental permissiveness toward gambling and problems related to lifetime drug and alcohol problems. That we identified significant relationships involving perceived parental permissiveness despite the single-item measure and focus on gambling suggests the potential robustness of this construct as a correlate of risky behaviors. There was a considerable amount of missing data. In several respects, participants with missing data appeared to be at greater risk (e.g., gambled, smoked cigarettes and marijuana more often) than those having complete data. Nonetheless, we found multiple significant relationships between varied risk factors and gambling/substance use. Complete data from these students could have further strengthened results of this study.

The present findings highlight the importance of parental influence on gambling and substance use. Specifically, our findings show potentially damaging effects of parental permissiveness toward gambling, as well as the protective value of less permissive parenting, even among higher-risk youth. Consequently, simultaneous intervention with parents to reduce permissiveness appears to be a valuable intervention goal (Fairlie et al., 2012). Accordingly, parent-based interventions have already shown efficacy in reducing alcohol consumption among late adolescents (i.e., first-year college students) (Turrissi et al., 2013).

Funding sources: K01 AA 019694, K05 AA014715, RL1 AA017539, R01 DA018647, VA VISN1 MIRECC, ABMRF/the Foundation for Alcohol Research, the Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services and the National Center for Responsible Gaming. The content of the manuscript reflects the thoughts and opinions of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the funding agencies. Members of the funding agencies did not contribute to the content of the manuscript.

Authors' contribution: RFL devised the concept and design of this secondary data analysis, had access to all study data, undertook the statistical analysis, assumes responsibility for the integrity and accuracy of the study data, managed the literature review and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. JAP-P contributed to the literature review and provided advice on statistical analysis. RAH, SK-S, MS and MNP developed the survey. RAH, SK-S and MNP oversaw data collection and entry. All authors contributed to and have approved the final version of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the content of this manuscript. The authors report the following disclosures: Dr. Potenza has received financial support or compensation for the following: Dr. Potenza has consulted for and advised Boehringer Ingelheim, Lundbeck and Ironwood; has consulted for and has financial interests in Somaxon; has received research support from the National Institutes of Health, Veteran's Administration, Mohegan Sun Casino, the National Center for Responsible Gaming, and Forest Laboratories, Ortho-McNeil, Oy-Control/Biotie, GlaxoSmithKline, and Psyadon pharmaceuticals; has participated in surveys, mailings or telephone consultations related to drug addiction, impulse control dis-

orders or other health topics; has consulted for gambling entities, law offices and the federal public defender's office in issues related to impulse control disorders; provides clinical care in the Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services Problem Gambling Services Program; has performed grant reviews for the National Institutes of Health and other agencies; has guest-edited journal sections; has given academic lectures in grand rounds, CME events and other clinical or scientific venues; and has generated books or book chapters for publishers of mental health texts.

REFERENCES

- Akers, R. L. & Lee, G. (1996). A longitudinal test of social learning theory: Adolescent smoking. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 26, 317–343.
- Aiken, L. A. & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Auger, N., Lo, E., Cantinotti, M. & O'Loughlin, J. (2010). Impulsivity and socio-economic status interact to increase the risk of gambling onset among youth. *Addiction*, 105, 2176–2183.
- Bandura, A. & Walters, R. H. (1963). *Social learning and personality development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Barnes, G. M., Welte, J. W., Hoffman, J. H. & Tidwell, M. O. (2009). Gambling, alcohol and other substance use among youth in the United States. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 70, 134–142.
- Brewer, J. A. & Potenza, M. N. (2008). The neurobiology and genetics of impulse control disorders: Relationships to drug addictions. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 75, 63–75.
- Chassin, L., Flora, D. B. & King, K. M. (2004). Trajectories of alcohol and drug use and dependence from adolescence to young adulthood: The effects of familial alcoholism and personality. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 113, 483–498.
- Conrod, P. J., Castellanos, N. & Mackie, C. (2008). Personality-targeted interventions delay the growth of adolescent drinking and binge drinking. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49, 181–190.
- Derevensky, J. L., Gupta, R. & Winters, K. (2003). Prevalence rates of youth gambling problems: Are the current rates inflated? *Journal of Gambling Studies*, 19, 405–425.
- Duhig, A. M., Maciejewski, P. K., Desai, R. A., Krishnan-Sarin, S. & Potenza, M. N. (2007). Characteristics of adolescent past-year gamblers and non-gamblers in relation to alcohol drinking. *Addictive Behaviors*, 32, 80–89.
- Ersche, K. D., Turton, A. J., Pradhan, S., Bullmore, E. T. & Robbins, T. W. (2010). Drug addiction endophenotypes: Impulsive versus sensation-seeking personality traits. *Biological Psychiatry*, 68, 770–773.
- Fairlie, A. M., Wood, M. D. & Laird, R. D. (2012). Prospective protective effect of parents on peer influences and college alcohol involvement. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 26, 30–41.
- Gupta, R., Derevensky, J. L. & Ellenbogen, S. (2006). Personality characteristics and risk-taking tendencies among adolescent gamblers. *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science*, 38, 201–213.
- Hayes, L., Hudson, A. & Matthews, J. (2004). Parental monitoring behaviors: A model of rules, supervision, and conflict. *Behavioral Therapy*, 35, 587–604.
- King, K. M., Fleming, C. B., Monahan, K. C. & Catalano, R. F. (2011). Changes in self-control problems and attention prob-

- lems during middle school predict alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana use during high school. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 25, 69–79.
- Kong, G., Camenga, D., Cavallo, D. A., Connell, C. M., Pflieger, J. C. & Krishnan-Sarin, S. (2012). The role of ethnic pride and parental disapproval of smoking on smoking behaviors among minority and White adolescents in a suburban high school. *American Journal on Addictions*, 21, 424–434.
- Kundu, P. V., Pilver, C. E., Desai, R. A., Steinberg, M. A., Rugle, L., Krishnan-Sarin, S. & Potenza, M. N. (2013). Gambling-related attitudes and behaviors in adolescents having received instant (scratch) lottery tickets as gifts. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 52, 456–464.
- Latendresse, S. J., Rose, R. J., Viken, R. J., Pulkkinen, L., Kaprio, J. & Dick, D. M. (2009). Parental socialization and adolescents' alcohol use behaviors: Predictive disparities in parents' versus adolescents' perceptions of the parenting environment. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 38, 232–244.
- Leeman, R. F. & Potenza, M. N. (2012). Similarities and differences between pathological gambling and substance use disorders: A focus on impulsivity and compulsivity. *Psychopharmacology*, 219, 469–490.
- Leeman, R. F., Hoff, R. A., Krishnan-Sarin, S., Patock-Peckham, J. A. & Potenza, M. N. (in press). Impulsivity, sensation seeking and part-time job status in relation to substance use and gambling in adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*.
- Martin, C. A., Kelly, T. H., Rayens, M. K., Brogli, B. R., Brenzel, A., Smith, W. J. & Omar, H. A. (2002). Sensation seeking, puberty, and nicotine, alcohol, and marijuana use in adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 41, 1495–1502.
- Moeller, F. G., Barratt, E. S., Dougherty, D. M., Schmitz, J. M. & Swann, A. C. (2001). Psychiatric aspects of impulsivity. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158, 1783–1793.
- Patock-Peckham, J. A. & Morgan-Lopez, A. A. (2006). College drinking behaviors: Mediation links between parenting styles, impulse control and alcohol-related outcomes. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 20, 117–125.
- Pieters, S., van der Zwaluw, C. S., van der Vorst, H., Wiers, R. W., Smeets, H., Lambrichs, E., Burk, W. J. & Engels, R. C. (2012). The moderating effect of alcohol-specific parental rule-setting on the relation between the dopamine D2 receptor gene (DRD2), the mu-opioid receptor gene (OPRM1) and alcohol use in young adolescents. *Alcohol & Alcoholism*, 47, 663–670.
- Potenza, M. N. (2013). Biological contributions to addictions in adolescents and adults: Prevention, treatment, and policy implications. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 52, S22–S32.
- Quinn, P. D., Stappenbeck, C. A. & Fromme, K. (2011). Collegiate heavy drinking prospectively predicts change in sensation seeking and impulsivity. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 120, 543–556.
- Sargent, J. D. & Dalton, M. (2001). Does parental disapproval of smoking prevent adolescents from becoming established smokers? *Pediatrics*, 108, 1256–1262.
- Schepis, T. S., Desai, R. A., Smith, A. E., Cavallo, D. A., Liss, T. B., McFetridge, A., Potenza, M. N. & Krishnan-Sarin, S. (2008). Impulsive sensation seeking, parental history of alcohol problems, and current alcohol and tobacco use in adolescents. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 2, 185–193.
- Shaffer, H., LaBrie, R., Scanlan, K. & Cummings, T. N. (1994). Pathological gambling among adolescents: Massachusetts Gambling Screen (MAGS). *Journal of Gambling Studies*, 10, 339–362.
- Steinberg, L., Albert, D., Cauffman, E., Banich, M., Graham, S. & Woolard, J. (2008). Age differences in sensation seeking and impulsivity as indexed by behavior and self-report: Evidence for a dual systems model. *Developmental Psychology*, 44, 1764–1778.
- Turrisi, R., Mallett, K. A., Cleveland, M., Varvil-Weld, L., Abar, C., Scaglione, N. & Hultgren, B. (2013). An evaluation of timing and dosage of a parent-based intervention to minimize college students' alcohol consumption. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 74, 30–40.
- van der Vorst, V., Engels, R. C., Meeus, W. & Dekovic, M. (2006). Parental attachment, parental control, and early development of alcohol use: A longitudinal study. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 20, 107–116.
- Vitaro, F., Arseneault, L. & Tremblay, R. E. (1999). Impulsivity predicts problem gambling in low SES adolescent males. *Addiction*, 94, 565–575.
- Wanner, B., Vitaro, F., Ladouceur, R., Brendgen, M. & Tremblay, R. E. (2006). Joint trajectories of gambling, alcohol and marijuana use during adolescence: A person- and variable-centered developmental approach. *Addictive Behaviors*, 31, 566–580.
- Welte, J. W., Barnes, G. M., Tidwell, M. C. O. & Hoffman, J. H. (2008). The prevalence of problem gambling among US adolescents and young adults: Results from a national survey. *Journal of Gambling Studies*, 24, 119–133.
- Xu, Y., Farver, J. A. & Zhang, Z. (2009). Temperament, harsh and indulgent parenting, and Chinese children's proactive and reactive aggression. *Child Development*, 80, 244–258.
- Zuckerman, M. (1994). *Sensation seeking: Beyond the optimum level of arousal*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Zuckerman, M., Kuhlman, D. M., Joireman, J., Teta, P. & Kraft, M. (1993). A comparison of three structural models for personality: The Big Three, the Big Five, and the Alternative Five. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65, 757–768.